

On non Artinian cofinite generalized local cohomology modules

Farzaneh Vahdanipour

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Bonab, Bonab, Iran.

E-mail: farzaneh.vahdani@gmail.com

Communicating editor - Malkhaz Bakuradze

Abstract

Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and $I \subseteq J$ be ideals of R . Let M and N be finitely generated R -modules such that $\text{pd}_R(M) < \infty$. In this paper, we study the supremum of non Artinian I -cofinite modules $H_I^i(M, N)$ over a commutative Noetherian ring where $i \geq 1$ and give a bound for $\tilde{q}_J(M, N)$ by using $\tilde{q}_I(M, N)$. We show that $\tilde{q}_J(M, N) \leq \tilde{q}_I(M, N) + \text{cd}_J(M, N/IN)$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. **13D45**. 14B15, 13E05.

Keywords. cofinite module, cohomological dimension, generalized local cohomology module, Noetherian ring.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, Let R denote a commutative Noetherian ring and I be an ideal of R . Let M and N be two finitely generated R -modules. The notion of generalized local cohomology was introduced by Herzog in [11]. The i th generalized local cohomology modules of M and N with respect to I is defined as

$$H_I^i(M, N) \cong \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ n \geq 1}} \text{Ext}_R^i(M/I^n M, N).$$

It is clear that $H_I^i(R, N)$ is just the ordinary local cohomology module $H_I^i(N)$. Generalized local cohomology modules have been studied by several authors (see for example [4], [14], [16]).

Hartshorn in [9] defined an R -module M to be I -cofinite, if $\text{Supp}(M) \subseteq V(I)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ is finitely generated module for all $i \geq 0$.

Recall that for an R -module M , the notion $\text{cd}(I, M)$, the cohomological dimension of M with respect to I , is defined as:

$$\text{cd}(I, M) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : H_I^i(M) \neq 0\}$$

and the notion $q(I, M)$, which for first time was introduced by Hartshorne, is defined as:

$$q(I, M) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : H_I^i(M) \text{ is not Artinian}\},$$

with the usual convention that the supremum of the empty set of integers is interpreted as $-\infty$. These two notions have been studied by several authors (see [6, 7, 8, 10]).

Amjadi and Naghipour in [3] defined for R -modules M and N , the notion $\text{cd}_I(M, N)$, the cohomological dimension of M and N with respect to I , as:

$$\text{cd}_I(M, N) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : H_i^i(M, N) \neq 0\}.$$

The present author et al. in [15], introduced the notion $\tilde{\text{q}}_I(M, N)$ as:

$$\tilde{\text{q}}_I(M, N) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : H_i^i(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian } I\text{-cofinite}\},$$

if there exist such i 's and $-\infty$ otherwise.

Recall that, a subcategory \mathcal{S} of the category of all R -modules is said to be a *Serre category* if an any short exact sequence of R -modules and R -homomorphism, the middle module is in \mathcal{S} if and only if the two other modules are in \mathcal{S} .

The main aim of this paper is to prove the following result:

Theorem 1.1. Let R be a Noetherian ring, $I \subseteq J$ be ideals of R and M, N be finitely generated R -modules such that $\text{pd}_R(M) < \infty$. Then

$$\tilde{\text{q}}_J(M, N) \leq \tilde{\text{q}}_I(M, N) + \text{cd}_J(M, N/IN).$$

For any ideal I of R , we denote $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}R : \mathfrak{p} \supseteq I\}$ by $V(I)$. We refer the reader to [5] and [12] for any unexplained notion and terminology.

2 The results

The main purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. But first of all we need the following auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Let R be a Noetherian ring, I and J be ideals of R such that $I \subseteq J$. Let M be finitely generated and N be an arbitrary module. Then

- (a) $\Gamma_I(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_I(N))$,
- (b) $\Gamma_J(M, N) \cong \Gamma_J(M, \Gamma_I(N))$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward and is left to the reader. Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.2. Let R be a Noetherian ring, I and J be ideals of R and M, N be finitely generated R -modules such that $H_j^j(M, H_i^i(N))$ is Artinian and J -cofinite, for each $i \geq 0$ and each $j \geq 0$. Then $H_j^i(M, N)$ is also Artinian and J -cofinite, for each $i \geq 0$.

Proof. We use inuction on i . If $i = 0$, then $\Gamma_J(M, \Gamma_I(N))$ is Artinian and J -cofinite so in view of lemma 2.1, $\Gamma_J(M, N)$ is Artinian and J -cofinite. Now assume that $i > 0$ and the claim holds for $i - 1$. Let $\bar{N} = N/\Gamma_I(N)$. Suppose that $E(\bar{N})$ is an injective hull of \bar{N} . Let

$$0 \longrightarrow \bar{N} \longrightarrow E(\bar{N}) \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2.1}$$

is an exact sequence such that $L = E(\bar{N})/\bar{N}$. We apply $\Gamma_I(-)$ to the exact sequence (2.1). We get the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_I(\bar{N}) \longrightarrow \Gamma_I(E(\bar{N})) \longrightarrow \Gamma_I(L) \longrightarrow \\ H_I^1(\bar{N}) \longrightarrow H_I^1(E(\bar{N})) \longrightarrow H_I^1(L) \longrightarrow \dots \\ \longrightarrow H_I^i(\bar{N}) \longrightarrow H_I^i(E(\bar{N})) \longrightarrow H_I^i(L) \longrightarrow H_I^{i+1}(\bar{N}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Gamma_I(\bar{N}) = 0$, it follows that $\Gamma_I(E(\bar{N})) = 0$. we can deduce from long exact sequence

$$H_I^i(L) \cong H_I^{i+1}(\bar{N}). \quad (2.2)$$

Now we apply $\Gamma_J(M, -)$ to the exact sequence (2.1). We get the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_J(M, \bar{N}) \longrightarrow \Gamma_J(M, E(\bar{N})) \longrightarrow \Gamma_J(M, L) \longrightarrow \\ H_J^1(M, \bar{N}) \longrightarrow H_J^1(M, E(\bar{N})) \longrightarrow H_J^1(M, L) \longrightarrow \dots \\ \longrightarrow H_J^i(M, \bar{N}) \longrightarrow H_J^i(M, E(\bar{N})) \longrightarrow H_J^i(M, L) \longrightarrow H_J^{i+1}(M, \bar{N}). \end{aligned}$$

By using lemma 2.1 and $\Gamma_I(\bar{N}) = 0$ we see that $\Gamma_J(M, \bar{N}) = 0$. Hence $\Gamma_J(M, E(\bar{N})) = 0$ so from the exact sequence, we can deduce that

$$H_J^i(M, L) \cong H_J^{i+1}(M, \bar{N}). \quad (2.3)$$

Since $H_I^i(N) \cong H_I^i(\bar{N})$, it follows the assumption that $H_J^j(M, H_I^i(\bar{N}))$ is Artinian and J -cofinite, for each $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 0$. In view of the relation (2.2), we get $H_J^j(M, H_I^{i-1}(L))$ is Artinian and J -cofinite, for each $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 0$. then by induction hypothesis, $H_J^i(M, L)$ is Artinian and J -cofinite, for each $i \geq 0$. Then by using the relation (2.3), we can deduce that $H_J^{i+1}(M, \bar{N})$ is Artinian and J -cofinite, for each $i \geq 0$. The short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_I(N) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \bar{N} \longrightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequenc

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_J^{i+1}(M, \Gamma_I(N)) \longrightarrow H_J^{i+1}(M, N) \longrightarrow H_J^{i+1}(M, \bar{N}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Since $H_J^{i+1}(M, \Gamma_I(N))$ and $H_J^{i+1}(M, \bar{N})$ are Artinian and J -cofinite and by using [13, Corollary 4.4], we can deduce that $H_J^{i+1}(M, N)$ is Artinian and J -cofinite for each $i \geq 0$. Q.E.D.

We need the following lemma in the proof of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.3. (See [2, Theorem 2.9]) Let R be a Noetherian ring and I be an ideal of R . Let \mathcal{S} be a Serre subcategory of the category of R -module. Then for each R -module N , the following conditions are equivalent.

- (a) $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, N)$ is in \mathcal{S} for all i ,
- (b) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ is in \mathcal{S} for all i and each finitely generated module M such that $\text{Supp}(M) \subset V(I)$,

(c) $H_I^i(M, N)$ is in \mathcal{S} for each finitely generated R -module M and for all i .

Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.4. Let R be a Noetherian ring, $I \subseteq J$ be ideals of a Noetherian ring R and M, N be finitely generated R -modules. If $\tilde{q}_J(M, N) \geq 0$, then $\tilde{q}_I(M, N) \geq 0$.

Proof. Set $t = \tilde{q}_J(M, N) \geq 0$. From the definition we have R -module $H_J^t(M, N)$ is not Artinian and J -cofinite. Therefore, lemma 2.3 implies that R -module $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/J, N)$ is not Artinian and J -cofinite for each $i \geq 0$. Since $\text{Supp}(R/J) \subseteq \text{Supp}(R/I) = V(I)$, it follows from lemma 2.3 that $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/I, N)$ is not Artinian and I -cofinite for some $j \geq 0$. Also, using lemma 2.3 it follows that $H_I^i(M, N)$ is not Artinian and I -cofinite for some $i \geq 0$. Hence $\tilde{q}_I(M, N) \geq 0$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.5. Let R be a Noetherian ring and $I \subseteq J$ be ideals of R . Let M and N be finitely generated R -modules. If $\tilde{q}_J(M, N) \geq 0$, then $\tilde{q}_J(M, \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\text{cd}(I, N)} H_I^i(N)) \geq 0$ such that

$$\tilde{q}_J(M, \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\text{cd}(I, N)} H_I^i(N)) = \text{Sup}\{\tilde{q}_J(M, H_I^i(N)) : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Proof. Suppose the contrary and look for a contradiction.

Set $\tilde{q}_J(M, \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\text{cd}(I, N)} H_I^i(N)) = -\infty$. From the definition we have $H_J^j(M, H_I^i(N))$ is Artinian and J -cofinite. Then, in view of Lemma 2.1, $H_J^i(M, N)$ is Artinian and J -cofinite, for each $i \geq 0$ consequently $\tilde{q}_J(M, N) = -\infty$, which is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.6. (See [1, Proposition 3.2]) Let R be a Noetherian ring, I be ideal of R and M, N be finitely generated R -modules such that $\text{pd}_R(M) < \infty$ and $\text{Supp} L \subseteq \text{Supp} N$. Then $\tilde{q}_I(M, L) \leq \tilde{q}_I(M, N)$.

Q.E.D.

The following proposition plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 2.8.

Proposition 2.7. Let R be a Noetherian ring, $I \subseteq J$ be ideals of R and M, N be finitely generated R -modules such that $\text{pd}_R(M) < \infty$. Then

$$\tilde{q}_J(M, N) \leq \tilde{q}_I(M, N) + \tilde{q}_J(M, \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\text{cd}(I, N)} H_I^i(N)).$$

Proof. Set $t := \tilde{q}_J(M, N)$. We prove the result by using the induction on t . If $t = 0$, then the assertion is hold. Suppose that $t > 0$ and that the case $t - 1$ is settled. Then it follows from lemma 2.4 that $\tilde{q}_I(M, N) > 0$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_I(N) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N/\Gamma_I(N) \longrightarrow 0$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_J(M, N) &= \sup\{\tilde{q}_J(M, \Gamma_I(N)), \tilde{q}_J(M, N/\Gamma_I(N))\} \\ &= \tilde{q}_J(M, N/\Gamma_I(N)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

We set $L = N/\Gamma_I(N)$. The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow E_R(L) \longrightarrow E_R(L)/L \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.5)$$

and relation (2.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_J(M, E_R(L)/L) &= \tilde{q}_J(M, L) - 1 \\ &= \tilde{q}_J(M, N) - 1 \\ &= t - 1. \end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis, it follows that

$$\tilde{q}_J(M, E_R(L)/L) \leq \tilde{q}_I(M, E_R(L)/L) + \sup\{\tilde{q}_J(M, H_I^i(E_R(L)/L)) : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}. \quad (2.6)$$

By the exact sequence (2.5) we get the following exact sequence.

$$\begin{aligned} H_I^i(L) \longrightarrow H_I^i(E_R(L)) \longrightarrow H_I^i(E_R(L)/L) \longrightarrow H_I^{i+1}(L) \longrightarrow \\ H_I^{i+1}(E_R(L)) \longrightarrow H_I^{i+1}(E_R(L)/L). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $H_I^i(E_R(L)/L) \cong H_I^{i+1}(L)$. Then

$$\tilde{q}_J(M, H_I^i(E_R(L)/L)) = \tilde{q}_J(M, H_I^{i+1}(L)). \quad (2.7)$$

Hence by relations (2.6) and (2.7) we have

$$t - 1 \leq \tilde{q}_I(M, E_R(L)/L) + \sup\{\tilde{q}_J(M, H_I^i(L)) : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\},$$

Since $H_I^i(L) \cong H_I^i(N)$, it follows that

$$t \leq 1 + \tilde{q}_I(M, E_R(L)/L) + \sup\{\tilde{q}_J(M, H_I^i(N)) : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}. \quad (2.8)$$

On the other hand, since $\tilde{q}_J(M, E_R(L)/L) = t - 1 \geq 0$, it follows from lemma 2.4 that $\tilde{q}_I(M, E_R(L)/L) \geq 0$. Consesequently by the exact sequence (2.5) we have

$$\tilde{q}_I(M, E_R(L)/L) = \tilde{q}_I(M, L) - 1 \geq 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Thus by relations (2.8), (2.9) and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_J(M, N) &= t \\ &\leq 1 + \tilde{q}_I(M, E_R(L)/L) + \sup\{\tilde{q}_J(M, H_I^i(N)) : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \\ &= \tilde{q}_I(M, L) + \sup\{\tilde{q}_J(M, H_I^i(N)) : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \\ &\leq \tilde{q}_I(M, N) + \sup\{\tilde{q}_J(M, H_I^i(N)) : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Now we are ready to state and prove the main result.

Theorem 2.8. Let R be a Noetherian ring, $I \subseteq J$ be ideals of R and M, N be finitely generated R -modules such that $\text{pd}_R(M) < \infty$. Then

$$\tilde{q}_J(M, N) \leq \tilde{q}_I(M, N) + \text{cd}_J(M, N/IN).$$

Proof. Assume that $\tilde{q}_J(M, N) \geq 0$. Then by using Proposition 2.7, it follows that

$$\tilde{q}_J(M, N) \leq \tilde{q}_I(M, N) + \tilde{q}_J(M, \bigoplus_{i \geq 0}^{\text{cd}(I, N)} H_I^i(N)).$$

Set $k := \tilde{q}_J(M, \bigoplus_{i \geq 0}^{\text{cd}(I, N)} H_I^i(N))$. Since

$$\tilde{q}_J(M, \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H_I^i(N)) \leq \text{cd}_J(M, \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H_I^i(N)),$$

it follows that $\text{cd}_J(M, \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H_I^i(N)) \geq k$ which implies that

$$H_J^k(M, \bigoplus_{i \geq 0}^{\text{cd}(I, N)} H_I^i(N)) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, there exists a finitely generated submodule L of the R -module $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0}^{\text{cd}(I, N)} H_I^i(N)$, such that $H_J^k(M, L) \neq 0$ consequently

$$k \leq \text{cd}_J(M, L). \quad (2.10)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Supp } L &\subseteq \text{Supp}(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0}^{\text{cd}(I, N)} H_I^i(N)) \\ &\subseteq \text{Supp}(N/IN), \end{aligned}$$

it follows from [3, Theorem B] that

$$\text{cd}_J(M, L) \leq \text{cd}_J(M, N/IN). \quad (2.11)$$

Then by relations (2.10) and (2.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_J(M, N) &\leq \tilde{q}_I(M, N) + k \\ &\leq \tilde{q}_I(M, N) + \text{cd}_J(M, L) \\ &\leq \tilde{q}_I(M, N) + \text{cd}_J(M, N/IN). \end{aligned}$$

So, the assertion holds. Q.E.D.

References

- [1] M. Aghapournahr, *Upper bounds for finiteness of generalized local cohomology modules*, Journal of Algebraic Systems, **1**, (2013), 1-9.
- [2] M. Aghapournahr and L. Melkersson, *Local cohomology and Serre subcategories*, J. Algebra., **320**, (2008), 1275-1287.

- [3] J. Amjadi and R. Naghipour, *Cohomological dimension of generalized local cohomology modules*, Algebra Colloquium, **15**, (2008), 303-308.
- [4] M. H. Bijan-Zadeh, *A common generalization of local cohomology theories*, Glasgow Math. J., **21**, (1980), 173-181.
- [5] M.P. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology; an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1998.
- [6] M. T. Dibaei and S. Yassemi, *Associated primes and cofiniteness of local cohomology modules*, Manuscripta Math., **117**, (2005), 199-205.
- [7] K. Divaani-Aazar, R. Naghipour and M. Tousi, *Cohomological dimension of certain algebraic varieties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **130**, (2002), 3537-3544.
- [8] G. Faltings, *Über lokale Kohomologiegruppen höher Ordnung*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **313**, (1980), 43-51.
- [9] R. Hartshorne, *Affine duality and cofiniteness*, Invent. Math., **9**, (1970), 145-164.
- [10] R. Hartshorne, *Cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties*, Annals of Math., **88**, (1968), 403-450.
- [11] J. Herzog, *Komplexe, Auflösungen und Dualität in der lokalen Algebra*, Habilitationsschrift, Universität Regensburg, (1970).
- [12] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1986.
- [13] L. Melkersson, *Modules cofinite with respect to an ideal*, J. Algebra, **285**, (2005), 649-668.
- [14] N. Suzuki, *On the generalized local cohomology and its duality*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **18**, (1978), 71-78.
- [15] F. Vahdanipour, K. Bahmanpour and G. Ghasemi, *On the cofiniteness of generalized local cohomology modules*, J. Bull. Belgian Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, **27**, (2020), 557-566.
- [16] S. Yassemi, *Generalized section functors*, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra, **95**, (1994), 103-119.