

Notes on Chow rings of flag varieties G/B and classifying spaces BG

Nobuaki Yagita

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Ibaraki University, Mito, Ibaraki, Japan

E-mail: nobuaki.yagita.math@vc.ibaraki.ac.jp

Abstract

Let G be a connected compact Lie group and T its maximal torus. The composition of maps $H^*(BG) \rightarrow H^*(BT) \rightarrow H^*(G/T)$ is zero for positive degree, while it is far from exact. We change $H^*(G/T)$ by Chow ring $CH^*(X)$ for X some twisted form of G/T , and change $H^*(BG)$ by $CH^*(BG)$. Then we see that it becomes near to exact but still not exact, in general. We also see that the difference for exactness relates to the generalized Rost motive in X .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. **55P35**. 57T25, 20C20, 55R35, 57T05.

Keywords. Chow rings, flag varieties, classifying spaces.

1 Introduction

Let p be a prime number. Let G and T be a connected compact Lie group and its maximal torus. Given a field k with $ch(k) = 0$, let G_k and T_k be a split reductive group and a split maximal torus over the field k , corresponding to G and T . Let B_k be the Borel subgroup containing T_k . Let us write by BG_k its classifying space of G_k defined by Totaro [To1].

For a smooth algebraic variety X over k (resp. topological space), let $CH^*(X) = CH^*(X)_{(p)}$ (resp. $H^*(X) = H^*(X)_{(p)}$) mean p -localized Chow ring over k (resp. p -localized ordinary cohomology ring). In general, to compute $CH^*(BG_k)$ or $H^*(BG)$ are difficult problems. At first, we consider them modulo torsion elements. We consider the following diagram

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} CH^*(BG_k)/Tor & \xrightarrow[i^*]{(1)} & CH^*(BB_k)^W \\ (2) \downarrow cl & & \cong \downarrow \\ H^*(BG)/Tor & \xrightarrow[i^*]{(3)} & H^*(BT)^W \end{array}$$

where Tor is the ideal generated by torsion elements, cl is the cycle map, and $W = N_G(T)/T$ is the Weyl group.

When $H^*(G)$ is torsion free, we know that $Tor = 0$ and all maps (1), (2), (3) are isomorphic, and $H^*(BT)^W$ is well known. So we assume that $H^*(G)$ have p -torsion, throughout this paper. By the existence of the Becker-Gottlieb transfer, the maps (1), (3) are injections. Moreover when G is simply connected, (1) is always not surjective ([Ya3]), while for many cases (3) are surjective. (For cases that (3) are not surjective are founded by Feshbach [Fe], Benson-Wood [Be-Wo]). In any way, $CH^*(BG_k)/Tor$ is isomorphic to a proper subring of $CH^*(BB_k)^W$ for each simply connected G .

To study $CH^*(BG_k)/Tor$, we consider twisted flag varieties. Let \mathbb{G} be a G_k -torsor. Then $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$ is a (twisted) form of the flag variety G_k/B_k . The fibering $G/T \xrightarrow{j} BT \xrightarrow{i} BG$ induces

the maps

$$(1.2) \quad CH^*(BG_k) \xrightarrow{i^*} CH^*(BB_k) \xrightarrow{j^*} CH^*(\mathbb{F}),$$

whose composition $j^*i^* = 0$ for $* > 0$. But it is far from exact when $\mathbb{G} \cong G_k$ the split group. Here exact means $\text{Ker}(j^+) = \text{Ideal}(\text{Im}(i^+)) \subset CH^+(BB_k)$ (where $+$ means the positive degree parts). However, we observe that it becomes near exact when \mathbb{G} is sufficient twisted, while it is still not exact for most cases. To see this fact, let us write the difference

$$(1.3) \quad D_{CH}(\mathbb{G}) = \text{Ker}(j^+(\mathbb{G})) / (\text{Ideal}(\text{Im}(i^+))).$$

Note that this invariant $D_{CH}(\mathbb{G})$ becomes smaller, if \mathbb{F} becomes strongly twisted. In particular, we will see that it is quite small for the versal flag variety $CH^*(\mathbb{F})$.

Here *versal* is defined as follows. Let us consider an embedding of G_k into the general linear group GL_N for some large N . This makes GL_N a G_k -torsor over the quotient variety $S = GL_N/G_k$. Define the *versal* G_k -torsor E to be the G_k -torsor over the function field $k(S)$ given by the generic fiber of $GL_N \rightarrow S$. (For details, see [Ga-Me-Se], [To2], [Me-Ne-Za], [Ka].) The corresponding flag variety $E/B_{k(S)}$ is called the *versal* flag variety, which is considered as the most complicated twisted flag variety (for given G_k). It is known that the Chow ring $CH^*(E/B_{k(S)})$ is not dependent to the choice of generic G_k -torsors E (Remark 2.3 in [Ka]).

In this paper, a versal G_k -torsor \mathbb{G} means this $G_{k(S)}$ -torsor E , and Chow ring $CH^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k)$ means this $CH^*(E/B_{k(S)})$, which is defined over $k(S)$ but not k . Exchanging k to $k(S)$ in (1,2), we also define $D_{CH}(\mathbb{G})$ (note $CH^*(BB_k) \cong CH^*(BB_{k(S)})$). Moreover, when G is of type (I) (see §2 below), it is known $CH^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k) \cong CH^*(\mathbb{G}'/B_k)$ for the versal \mathbb{G} (over $k(S)$) and each non-trivial G_k -torsor \mathbb{G}' .

By Petrov-Semenov-Zainoulline ([Pe-Se-Za], [Se-Zh]), it is known that the p -localized motive $M(\mathbb{F})_{(p)}$ of \mathbb{F} is decomposed as

$$(1.4) \quad M(\mathbb{F})_{(p)} = M(\mathbb{G}/B_k)_{(p)} \cong R(\mathbb{G}) \otimes (\oplus_i \mathbb{T}^{\otimes s_i})$$

where \mathbb{T} is the Tate motive and $R(\mathbb{G})$ is some motive called generalized Rost motive. (It is the original Rost motive ([Ro], [Vo1,2], [Pe-Se-Za], [Ya4]) when G is of type (I)). Hence we have maps

$$(1.5) \quad CH^*(BB_k) \xrightarrow{j^*} CH^*(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{pr} CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})).$$

From Merkurjev and Karpenko [Me-Ne-Za], [Kar], we know that the first map j^* is also surjective when \mathbb{G} is a versal G_k -torsor.

For ease of computations, we mainly consider the $\text{mod}(p)$ theories for (1.2)

$$(1.6) \quad CH^*(BG_k)/p \xrightarrow{i_p^*} CH^*(BB_k)/p \xrightarrow{j_p^*} CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p.$$

Let us define $D(\mathbb{G}) = \text{Ker}(j_p^+) / (\text{Ideal}(\text{Im}(i_p^+)))$. Then we see

Lemma 1.1. Let \mathbb{G} be versal. Then we have the surjection

$$pr : D(G_k)/D(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow CH^+(R(\mathbb{G}))/p.$$

We will see that $D(\mathbb{G})$ are quite small in some cases. For example we have

Theorem 1.1. Let $(G, p) = (SO(2\ell + 1), 2)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then $D(\mathbb{G}) \cong 0$, that is the above sequence (1.6) is exact.

Theorem 1.2. Let $(G(N), p) = (Spin(N), 2)$ and $\mathbb{G}(N)$ be versal. Then we have $\lim_{\infty \leftarrow N} D(\mathbb{G}(N)) = 0$.

Recall that $CH^*(BB_k) \cong S(t) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[t_1, \dots, t_\ell]$ with $|t_i| = 2$. Let us write c_i the i -th elementary symmetric function in $S(t)$ and let $e = c_1^4$. The notation $\Lambda(a, \dots, b)$ means the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -exterior algebra generated by a, \dots, b

Proposition 1.3. Let $(G, p) = (Spin(7), 2)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then we have additively

$$D(\mathbb{G}) \cong \Lambda(c_2c_3, e_4)^+ \otimes S(t, c) \quad \text{for } S(t, c) = S(t)/(c_2, c_3, e_4).$$

The plan of this paper is the following. In §2, we recall the Chow ring $CH^*(\mathbb{F})$ for a nontrivial G_k -torsor \mathbb{G} . In §3 we note some elementary relations between $CH^*(\mathbb{F})$ and $CH^*(BG_k)$. In §4 we note some facts for $CH^*(BB_k)^W/Tor$. In §5, §6, we try to compute $D(\mathbb{G})$ for $G = PU(p), SO(n)$. In §7, §8, we try to study $D(\mathbb{G})$ for $G = Spin(n)$ for general n . In §9, §10, we study $Spin(7), Spin(9)$. In §11, §12 we study the case $(G, p) = (F_4, 3)$. In §13, we study the case $G = E_6, E_7$ and $p = 3$.

The author thanks Akihiko Hida and Masaki Kameko for suggestions for this paper. In particular Masaki Kameko found errors in the first version of this paper.

2 $CH^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k)$

We recall arguments for $H^*(G/T)$ in the algebraic topology. By Borel, the $\text{mod}(p)$ cohomology of the Lie group G is (for p odd)

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong P(y)/p \otimes \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_\ell), \quad |x_i| = \text{odd}$$

where $P(y)$ is a truncated polynomial ring over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ generated by *even* dimensional elements y_i , and $\Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$ is the \mathbb{Z}/p -exterior algebra generated by x_1, \dots, x_ℓ . When $p = 2$, we consider the graded ring $grH^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ which is isomorphic to the right hand side ring above.

When G is simply connected and $P(y)$ is generated by just one generator, we say that G is of type (I) . Except for $(E_7, p = 2)$ and $(E_8, p = 2, 3)$, all exceptional (simple) Lie groups are of type (I) . The spin groups $G = Spin(n)$ are of type (I) for $7 \leq n \leq 10$. Note that in these cases, it is known $\text{rank}(G) = \ell \geq 2p - 2$.

We consider the fibering ([Tod2], [Mi-Ni]) $G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/T \xrightarrow{i} BT$ and the induced spectral sequence

$$(2.1) \quad E_2^{*,*} = H^*(BT; H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)) \implies H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

Here we can write $H^*(BT) \cong S(t) = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_\ell]$ with $|t_i| = 2$.

It is well known that $y_i \in P(y)$ are permanent cycles and that there is a regular sequence $(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_\ell)$ in $H^*(BT)/(p)$ such that $d_{|x_i|+1}(x_i) = \bar{b}_i$ ([Tod2], [Mi-Ni]).

We know that G/T is a manifold such that $H^*(G/T)$ is torsion free and is generated by even degree elements. We also see that there is a filtration in $H^*(G/T)_{(p)}$ such that

$$grH^*(G/T)_{(p)} \cong P(y) \otimes S(t)/(b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$$

where $b_i \in S(t)$ with $b_i = \bar{b}_i \text{ mod}(p)$.

Recall $BP^*(-)$ is the Brown-Peterson theory with the coefficient $BP^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots]$, $|v_i| = -2(p^i - 1)$. Then we have

$$grBP^*(G/T) \cong BP^* \otimes grH^*(G/T).$$

Let $Q_i : H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{*+2p^i-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ be the Milnor operation. There is a relation between Q_i -action on $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ and v_i -action on $BP^*(X)$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $d(x) = b \neq 0 \in H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ in the above spectral sequence (2.1). Then we can take a lift $b \in BP^*(BT)$ such that

$$b = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_i y(i) \in BP^*(G/T)/I_{\infty}^2 \quad \text{with } I_{\infty} = (p, v_1, \dots)$$

where $y(i) \in H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ with $\pi^*y(i) = Q_i x$.

For the algebraic closure \bar{k} of k , let us write $\bar{X} = X|_{\bar{k}}$. Then considering (2.1) over \bar{k} , we see

$$(2.2) \quad CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/p \subset P(y)/p, \quad CH^*(\oplus_i \mathbb{T}^{\otimes s_i}) \cong S(t)/(b_1, \dots, b_{\ell}).$$

Moreover when \mathbb{G} is versal, we can see ([Ya4]) that $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ is additively generated by products of b_1, \dots, b_{ℓ} in (2.2) i.e., $CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/p \cong P(y)$. Hence we have surjections $CH^*(BB_k) \rightarrow CH^*(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{pr} CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$.

For ease of notations, let us write

$$A(b) = \mathbb{Z}/p[b_1, \dots, b_{\ell}], \quad (b) = \text{Ideal}(b_1, \dots, b_{\ell}) \subset S(t)/p.$$

By giving the filtration on $S(t)$ by b_i , we can write (additively)

$$grS(t)/p \cong A(b) \otimes S(t)/(b).$$

Namely, $x \in S(t)/p$ is written as

$$x = \sum_I b(I)t(I) \quad \text{for } b(I) \in A(b), \quad \text{and } 0 \neq t(I) \in S(t)/(b).$$

In particular, we have maps $A(b) \xrightarrow{i_A} CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p \rightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$. We also see that this composition map is surjective.

Lemma 2.2. ([Ya4]) Suppose that there are $f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b) \in A(b)$ such that

$$CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p \cong A(b)/(f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b)).$$

Moreover if $f_i(b) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ also in $CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p$, we have the isomorphism

$$CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p \cong S(t)/(p, f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b)).$$

For a ring B , let $B\{a, \dots, b\}$ mean the B -free module generated by a, \dots, b .

Lemma 2.3. Let $pr : CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p \rightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$, and $0 \neq b \in \text{Ker}(pr)$. Then $b = \sum b'u'$ with $b' \in A(b)$, $u' \in S(t)^+/(p, b_1, \dots, b_{\ell})$ i.e., $|u'| > 0$.

Using these, we can prove

Theorem 2.1. ([Ya4]) Let G be of type (I) and $\text{rank}(G) = \ell$. Let \mathbb{G} be a non-trivial G_k -torsor. Then $2p - 2 \leq \ell$, and we can take $b_i \in S(t) = CH^*(BB_k)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ such that there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p &\cong \mathbb{Z}/p\{1, b_1, \dots, b_{2p-2}\}, \\ CH^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k)/p &\cong S(t)/(p, b_i b_j, b_k | 1 \leq i, j \leq 2p - 2 < k \leq \ell). \end{aligned}$$

We note that the above theorem also holds when \mathbb{G} is versal.

3 Relation between \mathbb{G}/B_k and BG

In this section, we consider $CH^*(X)/I$ for some ideal I (e.g., $CH^*(X)/p$). Let us write it simply $h^*(X)$ and $I = I(h)$.

We note here the following lemma for each G_k -torsor \mathbb{G} (not assumed twisted).

Lemma 3.1. For the above $h^*(X)$, the composition of the following maps is zero for $* > 0$

$$h^*(BG_k) \rightarrow h^*(BB_k) \rightarrow h^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k).$$

Proof. Take U (e.g., GL_N for a large N) such that U/G_k approximates the classifying space BG_k [To3]. Namely, we can take $\mathbb{G} = f^*U$ for the classifying map $f : \mathbb{G}/G_k \rightarrow U/G_k$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k & \longrightarrow & U/B_k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(k) \cong \mathbb{G}/G_k & \longrightarrow & U/G_k \end{array}$$

where U/B_k (resp. U/G_k) approximates BB_k (resp. BG_k). Since $h^*(\text{Spec}(k)) = CH^*(\text{Spec}(k))/I(h) = 0$ for $* > 0$, we have the lemma. Q.E.D.

The above sequences of maps in the lemma is not exact, in general. However we get some informations from $h^*(\mathbb{F})$ to $h^*(BG_k)$. In particular, we get much informations of $h^*(BG_k)$ from $h^*(\mathbb{F})$ than that from $h^*(G_k/B_k)$ when \mathbb{F} is twisted.

Let us write the induced maps

$$h^+(BG_k) \xrightarrow{i^+} h^+(BB_k) \xrightarrow{j(\mathbb{G})^+} h^+(\mathbb{G}/B_k)$$

where $h^+(-)$ is the ideal of the positive degree parts. Let us define

$$D_h(\mathbb{G}) = \text{Ker}(j^+) / (\text{Ideal}(\text{Im}(i^+))).$$

Let \mathbb{G} be versal and k' is some extension of k . Then

$$D_h(\mathbb{G}) \subset D_h(\mathbb{G}|_{k'}) \subset D_h(G|_{\bar{k}}) \cong D_h(G_k).$$

For ease of arguments we mainly consider the case $h^*(X) = CH^*(G)/p$, and write this $D_h(\mathbb{G})$ simply by $D(\mathbb{G})$.

Recall $grS(t)/p \cong A(b) \otimes S(t)/(b)$. For $f_1, \dots, f_s \in A(b)$, let us write by

$$A(b)(f_1, \dots, f_s) \quad (\text{resp. } S(t)(f_1, \dots, f_s))$$

the ideal in $A(b)$ (resp. $S(t)/p$) generated by f_1, \dots, f_s . Then it is almost immediately

Lemma 3.2. We can write additively

$$S(t)(f_1, \dots, f_s) \cong A(b)(f_1, \dots, f_s) \otimes S(t)/(b).$$

Proof. Each element $x \in S(t)(f_1, \dots, f_s)$ can be written as

$$x = \sum_J \left(\sum_i b(J)_i f_i \right) t(J), \quad \text{for } b(J)_i \in A(b), \quad 0 \neq t(J) \in S(t)/(b).$$

Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.3. Let \mathbb{G} be versal. Then there are maps

$$D(G_k)/D(\mathbb{G}) \subset CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p \xrightarrow{pr} CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p,$$

such that $pr(D(G_k)/D(\mathbb{G})) = CH^+(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$.

Proof. We consider the map $S(t)/p \cong CH^*(BB_k)/p \xrightarrow{j^*(\mathbb{G})} CH^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k)/p$. By the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(G_k)/(D(\mathbb{G})) &\cong (Ker(j^*(G_k)/Im(i^+))/(Ker(j^*(\mathbb{G}))/Im(i^+))) \\ &\cong Ker(j^*(G_k))/Ker(j^*(\mathbb{G})) \subset S(t)/(Ker j^*(\mathbb{G})) \cong CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $CH^*(G_k/B_k)/p \cong P(y) \otimes S(t)/(b)$. So $Ker(j(G_k)) = (b)$. From lemma 3.2,

$$(b) = S(t)(b_1, \dots, b_\ell) \cong (A(b)(b_1, \dots, b_\ell) \otimes S(t)/(b) \cong A(b)^+ \otimes S(t)/(b).$$

Since $prS(t)/(b) = \mathbb{Z}/p\{1\}$ and from Lemma 2.3, we have the lemma.

Q.E.D.

Corollary 3.1. Let \mathbb{G} be versal. Suppose there are $f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b)$ in $A(b)$ such that

$$CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p \cong S(t)/(p, f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b)).$$

Then $D(G_k)/D(\mathbb{G}) \cong CH^+(R(\mathbb{G}))/p \otimes S(t)/(b)$.

Proof. The ideal $Ker(j^+(\mathbb{G}))$ is shown from

$$Ker j^*(\mathbb{G}) \cong S(t)(f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b)) \cong (A(b)(f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b)) \otimes S(t)/(b).$$

Hence we have $D(G_k)/D(\mathbb{G}) \cong A(b)^+/(f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b)) \otimes S(t)/(b)$.

Q.E.D.

Corollary 3.2. Let \mathbb{G} be versal, and assume the supposition in Lemma 2.2. Moreover assume $Im(i^*) \subset A(b)$. Then there is $\tilde{D}(\mathbb{G}) \subset D(\mathbb{G})$ such that

$$D(\mathbb{G}) \cong \tilde{D}(\mathbb{G}) \otimes S(t)/(b).$$

From above corollaries, we have a very weak version of the decomposition theorem by Petrov-Semenov-Zainoulline [Pe-Se-Za], without using deep theories of motives.

Corollary 3.3. Let \mathbb{G} be versal, and assume the supposition in Lemma 2.2. Then we have an additive decomposition of the $mod(p)$ Chow ring

$$\begin{aligned} CH^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k)/p &\cong S(t)/(b) \oplus D(G_k)/D(\mathbb{G}) \\ &\cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\{1\} \oplus CH^+(R(\mathbb{G}))/p) \otimes S(t)/(b) \cong CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \otimes S(t)/(b). \end{aligned}$$

Example. Let G be of type (I) . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Kerj^+(G_k) &\cong Ideal(b_1, \dots, b_\ell) \subset S(t)/p = CH^*(BB_k)/p, \\ Kerj^+(\mathbb{G}) &\cong Ideal(b_i b_j, b_k | 1 \leq i, j \leq 2p-2 < k \leq \ell) \subset S(t)/p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $D(G_k)/D(\mathbb{G}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\{b_1, \dots, b_{2p-2}\} \otimes S(t)/(b)$.

4 $CH^*(BG)/Tor$

By Totaro, we have the Becker-Gottlieb transfer also in $CH^*(X)$. Hence we get the injection

$$(4.1) \quad CH^*(BG_k)/Tor \subset CH^*(BT)^W$$

for the Weyl group $W = N_G(T)/T$. From [Ya3], the above injection is always not surjective when $H^*(G)$ has p -torsion. In general, to get $CH^*(BG_k)$ is a difficult problem, but $CH^*(BG_k)/Tor$ seems more accessible.

Recall that $gr_{geo}^*(X)$ (resp. $gr_{top}^*(X)$) is the graded ring associated with the geometric (resp. topological) filtration of the algebraic K -theory $K_{alg}^0(X)$ (resp. the topological K -theory $K_{top}^0(X)$). Namely, it is isomorphic to the infinite term $E_\infty^{2*,*,0}$ (resp. $E_\infty^{2*,0}$) of the motivic (resp. usual) Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence.

Lemma 4.1. There is an isomorphism

$$CH^*(BG_k)/Tor \cong gr_{geo}^*(BG_k)/Tor.$$

Moreover if $CH^*(BG_k) \rightarrow gr_{top}^*(BG)/Tor$ (resp. $(BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})/Tor$) is surjective, then

$$CH^*(BG_k)/Tor \cong gr_{top}^*(BG)/Tor \quad (resp. (BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})/Tor).$$

Proof. We consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} CH^*(BG_k)/Tor & \xrightarrow{(1)} & CH^*(BB_k) \\ (2) \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\ gr_{geo}^*(BG_k)/Tor & \xrightarrow{(3)} & gr_{geo}^*(BB_k) \end{array}$$

There is the Becker-Gottlieb transfer, the map (1) is injective. Moreover the map (2) is surjective, and we have the first isomorphism. The second isomorphism follows from exchanging $gr_{geo}^*(-)$ by $gr_{top}^*(-)$ (or by $BP^*(-) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$). Q.E.D.

On the other hand Totaro defines the modified cycle map \bar{cl} such that the composition $\rho \cdot \bar{cl}$

$$(4.2) \quad CH^*(X) \xrightarrow{\bar{cl}} BP^*(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\rho} H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$$

is the usual cycle map cl . Moreover Totaro conjectures that \bar{cl} is isomorphic when $X = BG$ and $k = \bar{k}$. More weakly, if the modified cycle map $\bar{cl} \bmod(Tor)$ is surjective, then we have $CH^*(BG_k)/Tor \cong (BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})/Tor$.

By arguments similar to the proof of Lemma 4.1, (using $CH^*(B\bar{B}_k) \cong CH^*(BB_k)$) we have

Lemma 4.2. If $res : CH^*(BG_k)/Tor \rightarrow CH^*(B\bar{G}_k)/Tor$ is surjective, then it is isomorphic.

Corollary 4.1. Let G be simply connected. If $CH^*(B\bar{G}_k)/Tor$ is generated by Chern classes, then $res : CH^*(BG_k)/Tor \cong CH^*(B\bar{G}_k)/Tor$.

Proof. When G is simply conned, by Chevalley, we know $res : K^0(BG_k) \cong K^0(B\bar{G}_k)$. Hence a map $B\bar{G}_k \rightarrow BU(N)$ can be lift to a map $BG_k \rightarrow BU(N)$. This implies that any Chern class in $CH^*(B\bar{G}_k)$ can be lift to an element in $CH^*(BG_k)$. Q.E.D.

5 $PGL(3)$ for $p = 3$

Now we consider in the case $(G, p) = (PU(p), p)$, which has p -torsion in cohomology, but it is not simply connected. Its mod p cohomology is

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[y]/(y^p) \otimes \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}) \quad |y| = 2, \quad |x_i| = 2i - 1.$$

So $P(y)/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[y]/(y^p)$ with $|y| = 2$.

Since G is not simply connected, G is not of type (I) while $P(y)$ is generated by only one y . (Indeed, $CH^*(X)/p$ resembles that of type (I) . Compare Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 5.2 below.)

By using the map $U(p-1) \rightarrow PU(p)$, we know $d_{2i}(x_i) = c_i$ for the elementary symmetric function in $H^*(BT_{U(p)})$. Then we have

$$grH^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[y]/(y^p) \otimes S(t)/(c_1, \dots, c_{p-1}).$$

Lemma 5.1. We have $py^j = c_i \in H^*(G/T)_{(p)}$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $G = PU(p)$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}_k/B_k$. Then there are isomorphisms

$$CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}_k))/p \cong CH^*(R_1)/p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\{1, c_1, \dots, c_{p-1}\},$$

$$CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p \cong S(t)/(p, c_i c_j | 1 \leq i, j \leq p-1).$$

By Vistoli [Vi], it is known that $CH^*(BG)/Tor \cong CH^*(BB_k)^W$. However its ring structure is not mentioned except for $p = 3, 5$. (As additive groups it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[c_2, \dots, c_p]$, but they are not isomorphic as rings.)

We compute here $D(\mathbb{G})$ only for $PU(3)$

$$(*) \quad CH^*(\mathbb{F})/3 \cong S(t)/(3, c_1^2, c_1 c_2, c_2^2).$$

By Vistoli and Vezzosi (Theorem 14.2 in [Vi]), we have

$$CH^*(BG_k)/Tor \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}[c'_2, c'_3, c'_6]/(27c'_6 - 4(c'_2)^3 - (c'_3)^2).$$

Each element c'_i is written using c_i in $(S(t) = CH^*(BB_k)$ (see page 48 in [Vi]) as

$$c'_2 = 3c_2 - c_1^2, \quad c'_3 = 27c_3 - 9c_1c_2 + 2c_1^3, \quad c'_6 = 4c_2^3 + 27c_3^2 \text{ mod}(c_1).$$

Hence the map $i^* \text{ mod}(3)$ is given as

$$(**) \quad c'_2 \mapsto -c_1^2, \quad c'_3 \mapsto -c_1^3, \quad c'_6 \mapsto c_2^3 \text{ mod}(c_1).$$

Proposition 5.2. Let $(G, p) = (PU(3), 3)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then

$$D(\mathbb{G}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\{c_1c_2, c_2^2, c_1c_2^2\} \otimes S(t)/(c_1, c_2).$$

Proof. The result follows from (*).(**) and the quotient

$$(c_1^2, c_1c_2, c_2^2)/(c_1^2, c_1^3, c_2^3)$$

of ideals in $CH^*(B_k)/3 \cong S(t)/3$.

Q.E.D.

6 $SO(2\ell + 1)$

We consider the orthogonal groups $G = SO(m)$ and $p = 2$. The $\text{mod}(2)$ -cohomology is written as (see for example [Tod-Wa], [Ni])

$$grH^*(SO(m); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \Lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1})$$

where $|x_i| = i$, and the multiplications are given by $x_s^2 = x_{2s}$.

For ease of argument, we only consider the case $m = 2\ell + 1$ so that

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong P(y) \otimes \Lambda(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2\ell-1})$$

$$grP(y)/2 \cong \Lambda(y_2, \dots, y_{2\ell}), \quad \text{letting } y_{2i} = x_{2i} \text{ (hence } y_{4i} = y_{2i}^2).$$

The Steenrod operation is given as $Sq^k(x_i) = \binom{i}{k}(x_{i+k})$. The Q_i -operations are given by Nishimoto [Ni]

$$Q_n x_{2i-1} = y_{2i+2n+1-2}, \quad Q_n y_{2i} = 0.$$

In particular, $Q_0(x_{2i-1}) = y_{2i}$ in $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. It is well known that the transgression $b_i = d_{2i}(x_{2i-1}) = c_i$ is the i -th elementary symmetric function on $S(t)$. (this element c_i is also represented by the i -th Chern class.) Hence we have

Lemma 6.1. We have an isomorphism

$$grH^*(G/T) \cong P(y) \otimes S(t)/(c_1, \dots, c_\ell).$$

Moreover, the cohomology $H^*(G/T)$ is computed completely by Toda-Watanabe [Tod-Wa] (e.g. $2y_{2i} = c_i \pmod{4}$). In $BP^*(G/T)/I_\infty^2$, we have a relation from Lemma 2.1 and the result by Nishimoto

$$(6.1) \quad c_i = 2y_{2i} + v_1 y_{2i+2} + \dots + v_j y_{2i+2(2^j-1)} + \dots$$

Let T be a maximal torus of $SO(m)$ and $W = W_{SO(m)}(T)$ its Weyl group. Then $W \cong S_\ell^\pm$ is generated by permutations and change of signs so that $|S_k^\pm| = 2^k k!$. Hence we have

$$H^*(BT)^W \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[p_1, \dots, p_\ell] \subset H^*(BT) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[t_1, \dots, t_\ell], \quad |t_i| = 2$$

where the Pontriyagin class p_i is defined by $\Pi_i(1 + t_i^2) = \sum_i p_i$.

Here we recall for the Stiefel-Whitney classes w_i ,

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_2, w_3, \dots, w_{2\ell+1}], \quad Q_0(w_{2i}) = w_{2i+1} \pmod{(w_s w_t)}.$$

It is known $H^*(BG)$ has no higher 2-torsion and

$$H(H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2); Q_0) \cong (H^*(BG)/Tor) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2$$

where $H(A; Q_0)$ is the homology of A with the differential Q_0 . This homology is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2[w_2^2, \dots, w_{2\ell}^2]$. Hence we have

$$H^*(BG)/Tor \cong D \quad \text{where } D = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_2, c_4, \dots, c_{2\ell}],$$

for the Chern classes c_i . The isomorphism $j^* : H^*(BG)/Tor \rightarrow H^*(BT)^W$ is given by $c_{2i} \mapsto p_i$.

Now we consider the $\pmod{2}$ Chow ring when \mathbb{G} is the split group G_k .

Lemma 6.2. We have the additive isomorphism

$$D(G_k) \cong \Lambda(c_1, \dots, c_\ell)^+ \otimes S(t, c) \quad \text{with } S(t, c) \cong S(t)/(c_1, \dots, c_\ell).$$

Proof. Recall that

$$CH^*(G_k/B_k)/2 \cong H^*(G/T)/2 \cong P(y)/2 \otimes S(t)/(c_1, \dots, c_\ell).$$

Hence we see

$$Ker(j) \cong (c_1, \dots, c_\ell) \subset CH^*(BB_k)/2 \cong H^*(BT)/2.$$

Here $j : p_i \mapsto c_i^2 \pmod{2}$ by definition of the Pontryagin class p_i .

On the other hand, we know by Totaro [To1]

$$CH^*(B\bar{G}_k) \cong BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_2, \dots, c_{2\ell+1}]/(2c_{\text{odd}}).$$

In fact, $CH^*(B\bar{G}_k)/Tor \cong CH^*(BG_k)/Tor$ from Lemma 4.3. Hence

$$CH^*(BG_k)/Tor \cong D \cong H^*(BT)^W$$

by $i : c_{2i} \mapsto p_i$. Thus the ideal generated by the image is $(Im(i)) \cong (c_2, c_4, \dots, c_{2\ell}) \subset S(t)$. Since $j : p_i \mapsto c_i^2$, we have

$$Ker(j)/(Im(i)) \cong (c_1, \dots, c_\ell)/(c_1^2, \dots, c_\ell^2) \subset S(t)/(c_1^2, \dots, c_\ell^2).$$

It is additively isomorphic to $\Lambda(c_1, \dots, c_\ell)^+ \otimes S(t)/(c_1, \dots, c_\ell)$, namely, each element $x \in D(G_k)$ is written as $x = \sum_I c(I)t(I)$ with $c(I) \in \Lambda(c_1, \dots, c_\ell)^+$ and $t(I) \neq 0 \in S(t)/(2, c_1, \dots, c_\ell)$. Q.E.D.

Recall that there is a surjection $D(G_k) \rightarrow CH^+(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$ from Lemma 3.3. We can see $c_1 \dots c_\ell \neq 0$ in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ (for example, using the torsion index $t(G) = 2^\ell$ [To2]).

Theorem 6.1. (Petrov [Pe], [Ya4]) Let $(G, p) = (SO(2\ell + 1), 2)$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$ be versal. Then $CH^*(\mathbb{F})$ is torsion free, and

$$CH^*(\mathbb{F})/2 \cong S(t)/(2, c_1^2, \dots, c_\ell^2), \quad CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \cong \Lambda(c_1, \dots, c_\ell).$$

Corollary 6.2. Let $(G, p) = (SO(2\ell + 1), 2)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then $D(\mathbb{G}) \cong 0$.

Proof. We have $Ker(j^+) \cong (c_1^2, \dots, c_\ell^2) \cong Ideal(Im(i^+))$ for $j^* : CH^*(BB_k)/2 \rightarrow CH^*(\mathbb{F})/2$.
Q.E.D.

7 $BSpin(n)$ for $p = 2$

In this section, we study Chow rings for the cases $G = Spin(n)$, $p = 2$. Recall that the $mod(2)$ cohomology is given by Quillen [Qu]

$$H^*(BSpin(n); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_2, \dots, w_n]/J \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[e]$$

where $e = w_{2^h}(\Delta)$ and $J = (w_2, Q_0 w_2, \dots, Q_{h-2} w_2)$. Here w_i is the Stiefel-Whitney class for the natural covering $Spin(n) \rightarrow SO(n)$. The number 2^h is the Radon-Hurwitz number, dimension of the spin representation Δ (which is the representation $\Delta|_C \neq 0$ for the center $C \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \subset Spin(n)$). The element e is the Stiefel-Whitney class w_{2^h} of the spin representation Δ .

Hereafter this section we always assume $G = Spin(n)$ and $p = 2$. For the projection $\pi : Spin(n) \rightarrow SO(n)$, the maximal torus T of $Spin(n)$ is given $\pi^{-1}(T')$ for the maximal torus T' of $SO(n)$, and $W = W_{Spin(n)}(T) \cong W_{SO(n)}(T')$. Benson-Wood [Be-Wo] determined $H^*(BT)^W$ and proved

Theorem 7.1. (Benson-Wood Corollary 8.4 in [Be-Wo]) Let $G = Spin(n)$ and $p = 2$. Then $i_H^* : H^*(BG) \rightarrow H^*(BT)^W$ is surjective if and only if $n \leq 10$ or $n \not\equiv 3, 4, 5 \pmod{8}$ (i.e., it is not the quaternion case).

Moreover, in this section, we assume $Spin(n)$ is in the real case [Qu], that is $n = 8\ell - 1, 8\ell + 1$ (hence i_H^* is surjective and $h = 4\ell - 1, 4\ell$ respectively).

Benson and Wood define invariants $q_i, \eta_{\ell-1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & q_1 = 1/2p_1, \quad q_i^2 = 2q_{i+1} \quad \text{with } |q_i| = 2^{i+1}, \\ (2) \quad & \eta_{\ell-1}^2 = i^*(c_{2^h}(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})) = i^*(e^2), \quad |\eta_{\ell-1}| = 2^h. \end{aligned}$$

In fact in $H^*(BT)^W$, it is defined as $\eta_{\ell-1} = \prod_{I \subset \{2, \dots, \ell\}} (q_1 - (\sum_{i \in I} x_i))$.

Then Benson-Wood prove

Theorem 7.2. (Theorem 7.1 in [Be-Wo]) If $n = 2\ell + 1 \geq 7$, then

$$H^*(BT)^W \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[p_2, \dots, p_\ell, \eta_{\ell-1}] \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(q_1, \dots, q_{\ell-2})$$

where $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is the free module generated by $a_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots a_k^{\varepsilon_k}$ for $\varepsilon_i = 0, 1$.

On the other hand, by Kono [Ko], $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ has no higher 2-torsion,

$$H(H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2); \mathbb{Q}_0) \cong (H^*(BG)/Tor) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

Benson and Wood also define $s_i \in H^*(BSO(n); \mathbb{Z}/2)$ such that

$$Q_0(s_i) = Q_i(w_2) \pmod{(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1})}$$

and hence $s_i \in H(H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2); \mathbb{Q}_0)$. So we can identify $s_i \in H^*(BG)/Tor$.

Corollary 7.3. ([Be-Wo]) The cohomology $H^*(BG)/Tor$ is isomorphic

$$D_\ell \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(s_3, \dots, s_\ell, e) \quad \text{with } D_\ell = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6, \dots, c_{2\ell}, c_{2^h}]$$

where $c_i = w_i^2$ are lifts in $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z})/Tor$ of the same named elements in $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

The map i^* is given with modulo (decomposed elements)

$$c_{2i} \mapsto p_i, \quad e \mapsto \eta_{\ell-1}, \quad s_i \mapsto q_{i-2}.$$

For actions of Q_i on $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, we use the following lemma, which I learned from Koichi Inoue.

Lemma 7.1. Let us write $(W) = \mathbb{Z}/2[w_2, \dots, w_n]^+$. In $H^*(BSO(N); \mathbb{Z}/2)$. we have

$$(1) \quad Q_i(w_j) = \begin{cases} w_{j+2^{i-1}} \pmod{(W^2)} & \text{if } j = \text{even} \\ 0 \pmod{(W^2)} & \text{if } j = \text{odd}. \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \quad \text{when } N < 2^{i+1} - 1 + j, \quad Q_i(w_j) = w_j w_{2^{i+1}-1} \pmod{(W^3)}.$$

Lemma 7.2. Let $2^i < 2\ell + 1$. Then we can take $s_{i-1} = w_{2^i} \pmod{(W^2)}$. The element s_{i-1} is not in the image of the cycle map from the Chow ring.

Proof. By Inoue's lemma,

$$Q_0(s_i) = Q_i(w_2) = w_{2^{i+1}+1} \pmod{(W^2)}.$$

Hence $s_i = w_{2^{i+1}} \pmod{(W^2)}$.

Since $Q_i(x) = 0$ for each class x in the $\text{mod}(2)$ Chow ring, the second statements follows from

$$Q_1(w_{2^{i+1}}) = w_{2^{i+1}+3} \notin J \pmod{(W^2)} \quad \text{when } 2^i < 2\ell - 1.$$

For $2^i = 2\ell$, we have $Q_i(w_{2^{i+1}}) = w_{2^{i+1}-1} w_{2^{i+1}} \notin J \pmod{(W^3)}$.

Q.E.D.

In our (real) case, it is known [Qu] that each maximal elementary abelian 2-group A has $\text{rank}_2 A = h + 1$ and $e|A = \prod_{x \in H^1(B\bar{A}; \mathbb{Z}/2)} (z + x)$. Here we identify $A \cong C \oplus \bar{A}$ and

$$H^*(BC; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[z], \quad H^*(B\bar{A}; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[x_1, \dots, x_h].$$

The Dickson algebra is written as a polynomial algebra

$$\mathbb{Z}/2[x_1, \dots, x_h]^{GL_h(\mathbb{Z}/2)} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[d_0, \dots, d_{h-1}].$$

where d_i is defined as $e|A = z^{2^h} + d_{h-1}z^{2^{h-1}} + \dots + d_0z$. We can also identify $d_i = w_{2^h-2^i}(\Delta) \in H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ [Qu].

Lemma 7.3. (Corollary 2.1 in [Sc-Ya]) We have

$$Q_{h-1}e = d_0e \quad \text{and} \quad Q_k e = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq h-2.$$

Thus we know that $e = \eta_{\ell-1}$ is not in the image from $CH^*(BG)$. Let us consider $i^*/2 : CH^*(BG) \rightarrow CH^*(BT)/2$ (but not to $CH^*(BT)^W/2$).

Conjecture 7.4. Let $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$ be of real type. Then we have

$$Im(i^*/2(CH^*(BG_k))) \cong D_\ell/2 = \mathbb{Z}/2[c_4, c_6, \dots, c_{2\ell}, c_{2h}] \subset H^*(BT)/2.$$

We will see that the above conjecture is true when $G = Spin(7), Spin(9)$, and some weaker version for $Spin(\infty)$.

We consider the motivic cohomology so that

$$CH^*(X)/2 \cong H^{2*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

The degree is given $deg(w_i) = (i, i)$ and $deg(c_i) = (2i, i)$. The cohomology operation Q_i exists in the motivic cohomology with $deg(Q_i) = (2^{i+1} - 1, 2^i - 1)$. Hence

$$Q_i Q_0(w_2) \in H^{2*,*}(BG_k; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong CH^*(BG_k)/2.$$

Using these facts, we can see

Theorem 7.5. ([Ya1]) The ring $CH^*(BSpin(n)_k)/2$ has a subring

$$RQ(n) = \mathbb{Z}/2[c_2, \dots, c_n]/(Q_1 Q_0 w_2, \dots, Q_{n-1} Q_0 w_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[c_{2h}(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})]$$

where c_i is the Chern class for $Spin(n) \rightarrow SO(n) \rightarrow U(n)$ and $c_{2h}(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})$ is that of complex representation for Δ .

Proof. This theorem is proved in [Ya1] for $k = \bar{k}$. It is well known $K^*(BG_k) \cong K^*(BG_{\bar{k}})$. Hence we see all Chern classes in $CH^*(BG_{\bar{k}})$ can be extended for $CH^*(BG_k)$. (see Corollary 4.3.) Q.E.D.

Lemma 7.4. Let $m = 2\ell + 1$ and G be real type. Then we have the isomorphism

$$i^*(RQ(m)) \cong D_\ell/2 = \mathbb{Z}/2[c_4, c_6, \dots, c_{2\ell}, c_{2h}(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})].$$

Proof. The element $Q_0 Q_j w_2$ exists as a zero element in $CH^*(BG(m))/2$. The element

$$c_{2i+1} = w_{2i+1}^2 = Q_0(w_{2i})w_{2i+1} = Q_0(w_{2i}w_{2i+1})$$

also exists in $CH^*(BG(m))$ and 2-torsion.

Q.E.D.

8 \mathbb{G}/B_k for $G = Spin(n)$

In this section, let $G'' = SO(2\ell + 1)$ and $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$. It is well known that $G''/T'' \cong G/T$ for the maximal tori T'' , T for the orthogonal and spin groups. By definition, we have the 2 covering $\pi : G \rightarrow G''$. We see that $\pi^* : H^*(G/T) \cong H^*(G''/T'')$. Let $2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$, i.e. $t = [\log_2 \ell]$. The mod 2 cohomology is

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong P(y) \otimes \Lambda(x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{2\ell-1}) \otimes \Lambda(z), \quad |z| = 2^{t+2} - 1$$

where $P(y) \cong P(y)''/(y_2)$ where $P(y)''$ is the $P(y)$ in $grH^*(G''; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ given in §7. That is,

$$grP(y) \cong \otimes_{2i \neq 2^j} \Lambda(y_{2i}) \cong \Lambda(y_6, y_{10}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{2\bar{\ell}})$$

where $\bar{\ell} = \ell - 1$ if $\ell = 2^j$ for some j , and $\bar{\ell} = \ell$ otherwise.

The Q_i operation for z is given by Nishimoto [Ni]

$$Q_0(z) = \sum_{i+j=2^{t+1}, i < j} y_{2i}y_{2j}, \quad Q_n(z) = \sum_{i+j=2^{t+1}+2^{n+1}-2, i < j} y_{2i}y_{2j} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

We know that

$$grH^*(G/T)/2 \cong P(y) \otimes S(t)/(2, c_2, \dots, c_\ell, c_1^{2^{t+1}}).$$

Here $c_i = \pi^*(c_i)$ and $d_{2^{t+2}}(z) = c_1^{2^{t+1}}$ in the spectral sequence converging $H^*(G/T)$.

The Chow ring $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ is not computed yet (for general ℓ), while we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 8.1. Let $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$, \mathbb{G} is versal, and $2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$. Then there is a surjection

$$\Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_{\bar{\ell}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[e_{2^{t+1}}] \rightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2.$$

where $c_i = \pi^*(c_i)$ and $e_j = c_1^j$ in $S(t) \cong H^*(BT)$ for $\pi : G \rightarrow G'' = SO(2\ell + 1)$.

Lemma 8.2. We have

$$i^*(c_{2i}) = (c_i)^2, \quad i^*(c_{2i+1}) = 0, \quad i^*(c_{2^h}(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})) = e_{2^{t+1}}^{2^{h-t-1}}.$$

Proof. The first equation is well known (see Lemma 7.3 in [Ya4]), in fact $c_i^2 = 0$ in $CH^*(\mathbb{G}''/B_k)$ is proved using $CH^*(BG_k'')$ for $G'' = SO(n)$. The second equation follows from $P^1 c_{2i} = c_{2i+1}$ and $P^1((c_i)^2) = 0$. The last equation follows from the fact Δ is spin representation (which is nonzero in the restriction on $\mathbb{Z}/2$ (recall $e_{2^{t+1}} = c_1^{2^{t+1}}$). Q.E.D.

Lemma 8.3. Let $G(n) = Spin(n)$ and $\mathbb{G}(n)$ be versal. Then given $n \geq 1$, there is $N \geq 7$ such that

$$CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}(N)))/2 \cong \Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_n) \quad \text{for all } * \leq n.$$

Proof. Let $N = 2\ell + 1$, and $2^2 \leq 2^n < 2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$.

We will see

$$CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}(N)))/2 \cong \Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_\ell) \quad \text{for } * < 2^n.$$

Suppose that

$$x = \sum c_{i_1 \dots i_s} = 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s < 2^n.$$

Recall $k(n)^* = \mathbb{Z}/p[v_n]$ and $k(n)^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \cong k(n)^* \otimes P(y)$. We note that in $k(n)^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$

$$c_{i_j} = v_n y_{2^m} \quad \text{with } m = 2^n - 1 + i_j.$$

Since $2^n < m < 2^{n+1}$, the number m is not a form 2^r , $r > 3$. Hence y_{2^m} is a generator of $grP(y)$.

Moreover recall that

$$e_{2^{t+1}} = v_n y_{2^{t+1-2+2^n-2} y_{2^{t+2}} + \dots$$

This element is in the *ideal* (v_n^2, E) with $E = (y_{2^j} | j > 2^t)$. Hence we see $c_{i_j} = v_n y_{2^m}$ is also nonzero *mod* (v_n^2, E) since $n < t$.

Thus we see that $x' = y_{2^{n-2+2i_1}} \dots y_{2^{n-2+2i_s}}$, which is an additive generator of $P(y)$. Hence it is also $k(n)^*$ -module generators of $k(n)^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$. We consider the element (in $k(n)^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$)

$$x'' = \text{res}(\sum c_{i_1 \dots i_s}) = \sum v_n^s x' \neq 0 \in k(n)^* \otimes P(y).$$

Moreover $v_n^{s-1} x' \notin \text{Im}(\text{res})$, because $\text{Im}(\text{res})$ is generated by $\text{res}(c_{j_1}) \dots \text{res}(c_{j_r})$ and each $\text{res}(c_j) = 0 \text{ mod}(v_n)$. Hence

$$x'' \neq 0 \text{ in } k(n)^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \otimes_{k(n)^*} \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2.$$

This is a contradiction.

Q.E.D.

Corollary 8.1. Let $G(N) = Spin(N)$ and $\mathbb{G}(N)$ be versal. Then we have

$$\lim_{\infty \leftarrow N} CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}(N)))/2 \cong \Lambda(c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n, \dots),$$

$$\lim_{\infty \leftarrow N} CH^*(\mathbb{F})/2 \cong S(t)/(2, c_2^2, c_3^2, \dots, c_n^2, \dots),$$

Proof. The second isomorphism follows from the additive isomorphism

$$CH^*(\mathbb{F})/2 \cong CH^*(R\mathbb{G}(N))/2 \otimes S(t)/(c_2, c_3, \dots).$$

Q.E.D.

Corollary 8.2. We have $\lim_{\infty \leftarrow N} D(\mathbb{G}(N)) = 0$.

Proof. From Lemma 7.9, we have

$$\lim_{\infty \leftarrow N} \text{Ideal}(i^*/2(CH^*(BG(N)_k)) \supset (D_\infty/2)$$

$$= \text{Ideal}(i^*c_4, i^*c_6, \dots, i^*c_{2i}, \dots) \subset CH^*(BB_k)/2.$$

We get the result from $i^* : c_{2i} \mapsto c_i^2$ and from the preceding corollary. In fact, $\text{Ker}(j^*) \cong \text{Ideal}(2, c_2^2, c_3^2, \dots)$.

Q.E.D.

9 $Spin(7)$ for $p = 2$

In this section, we assume $G = Spin(7)$ and $p = 2$. Then

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_4, w_6, w_7, w_8]$$

where w_i for $i \leq 7$ (resp. $i = 8$) are the Stiefel-Whitney classes for the representation induced from $Spin(7) \rightarrow SO(7)$ (resp. the spin representation Δ).

Thus the integral cohomogy is written as (using $Q_0 w_6 = w_7$)

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(BG) &\cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[w_4, c_6, w_8] \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2[w_7]\{w_7\}) \\ &\cong D \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(w_4, w_8) \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2[w_7]\{w_7\}) \end{aligned}$$

where $D = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6, c_8]$ with $c_i = w_i^2$.

Next we consider the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$E_2^{*,*'} \cong H^*(BG) \otimes BP^* \implies BP^*(BG).$$

We can compute the spectral sequence

$$\begin{aligned} grBP^*(BG) &\cong D \otimes (BP^*\{1, 2w_4, 2w_8, 2w_4w_8, v_1w_8\} \\ &\quad \oplus BP^*/(2, v_1, v_2)[c_7]\{c_7\}/(v_3c_7c_8)). \end{aligned}$$

Then $BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ is isomorphic to ([Ko-Ya])

$$D\{1, 2w_4, 2w_8, 2w_4w_8, v_1w_8\}/(2v_1w_8) \oplus D/2[c_7]\{c_7\}.$$

On the other hand, the Chow ring of $BG_{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by Guillot ([Gu],[Ya2])

Theorem 9.1. Let $k = \bar{k}$. Then we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} CH^*(BG_k) &\cong BP^*(BG_k) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \\ &\cong D \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c'_2, c'_4, c'_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{\xi_3\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2[c_7]\{c_7\}) \end{aligned}$$

where $cl(c_i) = w_i^2$, $cl(c'_2) = 2w_4$, $cl(c'_4) = 2w_8$, $cl(c'_6) = 2w_4w_8$, and $cl(\xi_3) = 0$, $|\xi_3| = 6$. However $cl_{\Omega}(\xi_3) = v_1w_8$ in $BP^*(BT)^W$, for the cycle map cl_{Ω} of the algebraic cobordism.

Now we consider $CH^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k)$. Let $G = Spin(7)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. The group G is of type (I) and we can take $b_1 = c_2, b_2 = c_3, b_3 = e_4$ with $|e_4| = 8$.

The Chow ring $CH^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k)$ is given in Theorem 2.4 (in fact, G is of type (I))

$$CH^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k) \cong S(t)/((2c_2, c_2^2, c_2c_3, c_3^2, e_4), \quad S(t) = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[t_1, t_2, t_3].$$

Hence we have $Ker(j(\mathbb{G})) \cong (2c_2, c_2^2, c_2c_3, c_3^2, e_4)$. Recall

$$CH^*(B\bar{G}_k)/(Tor) \cong CH^*(BB_k)^W \cong D\{1, c''_2, c''_4, c''_6\}$$

where c''_i is a Chern class of the (complex) spin representation. Note $CH^*(B\bar{G}_k)/Tor \cong CH^*(BG_k)/Tor$ from Lemma 4.3. Since $i(c''_2) = 2w_4, \dots$, we see

$$D/2 \cong \text{Im}(i^*/2 : CH^*(BG_k) \rightarrow CH^*(BT)/2).$$

We can see that the map i^* is given $c_4 \mapsto c_2^2$, $c_6 \mapsto c_3^2$, $c''_8 \mapsto e_4^2$, and

$$c''_2 \mapsto 2c_2, \quad c''_4 \mapsto 2e_4, \quad c''_6 \mapsto 2c_2e_4.$$

In particular $i^*CH^*(BG_k) = i^*CH^*(B\bar{G}_k)$. Thus we see

Proposition 9.2. Let $G = Spin(7)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then we have additively

$$D(\mathbb{G}) \cong \Lambda(c_2c_3, e_4)^+ \otimes S(t, c) \quad \text{for } S(t, c) \cong S(t)/(c_2, c_3, e_4).$$

Proof. The result follows from $\text{Ker}(j^*)/\text{Ideal}(i^+) \cong (c_2^2, c_2c_3, c_3^2, e_4)/(c_2^2, c_3^2, e_4^2)$. Q.E.D.

10 $Spin(9)$ for $p = 2$

In this section, we assume $G = Spin(9)$ and $p = 2$ and hence $h = 4$. It is well known (in fact $w_2, w_3, w_5 \in J$)

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_4, w_6, w_7, w_8, w_{16}]$$

where w_i for $i \leq 8$ (resp. $i = 16$) are the Stiefel-Whitney class for the representation induced from $Spin(9) \rightarrow SO(9)$ (resp. the spin representation Δ and hence $w_{16} = w_{16}(\Delta) = e$).

Recall that $H^*(BG)$ has just 2-torsion by Kono. Let us write

$$D = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6, c_8, c_{16}] \quad \text{with } c_i = w_i^2.$$

Then we can write

$$H^*(BG)/Tor \cong D \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(w_4, w_8, w_{16}), \quad Tor \cong D \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[w_7]^+.$$

Next we consider the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$E_2^{*,*'} \cong H^*(BG) \otimes BP^* \implies BP^*(BG).$$

Using $Q_1(w_4) = w_7$, $Q_2(w_7) = c_7$, $Q_2(w_8) = w_7w_8$ and $Q_3(w_7w_8) = c_7c_8$, we can compute the spectral sequence (page 796, (6.14) in [Ko-Ya]). Let us write $D' = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6, c_8]$ and $D'' = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6, c_{16}]$. Then the infinite term is given

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\infty} &= grBP^*(BG) \\ &\cong D' \otimes (BP^*\{1, 2w_4, 2w_8, 2w_4w_8, v_1w_8\} \oplus BP^*/(2, v_1, v_2)[c_7]^+/(v_3c_7c_8)) \\ &\quad \oplus D'' \otimes (BP^*\{2w_4w_{16}, 2w_{16}, v_1w_{16}, v_2w_{16}\} \oplus BP^*/(2, v_1, v_2)[c_7]\{c_7c_{16}\}) \\ &\quad \oplus D \otimes (BP^*\{2w_8, 2w_4w_8, v_1w_8\}\{w_{16}\} \oplus BP^*/(2, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)[c_7]\{c_7c_8c_{16}\}). \end{aligned}$$

However $BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ is not so complicated, and it is isomorphic to

$$BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \cong D\{1\} \oplus D \otimes 2\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(w_4, w_8, w_{16})^+$$

$$\oplus D/2\{v_1w_8, v_1w_{16}, v_1w_8w_{16}, v_2w_{16}\} \oplus D/2[c_7]^+.$$

The elements in $BP^*(BG)$ corresponding to v_1w_8, \dots, v_2w_{16} are all torsion free elements. However they are 2-torsion in $BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$, e.g.,

$$2v_2w_{16} \in v_2BP^*(BG), \quad \text{since } 2w_{16} \in BP^*(BG).$$

We will prove the following lemma.

Lemma 10.1. Each element in $2\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(w_4, w_8, w_{16})$ is represented by a sum of products of Chern classes.

Hence $\bar{c}l/Tor$ is surjective. So from Lemma 4.1, we have

Theorem 10.1. We have the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} CH^*(B\bar{G}_k)/(Tor) &\cong (BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(2)})/(Tor) \\ &\cong D\{1, c_2'', c_4'', c_6'', c_8'', c_{10}'', c_{12}'', c_{14}''\} \end{aligned}$$

where c_i (resp. c_j'') is the Chern class of the usual (resp. complex spin) representation.

Let us write by $Grif \subset CH^*(B\bar{G}_k)$ be the ideal of Griffiths elements, that is $Grif = Ker(cl : CH^*(B\bar{G}_k) \rightarrow H^*(BG))$.

Corollary 10.2. We have $Tor/Grif \cong D/2[c_7]^+$.

Remark. Note that $v_1w_8 \in Grif$, but we can not see v_1w_{16}, v_2w_{16} are in $CH^*(B\bar{G}_k)$ or not, i.e., we do not see $\bar{c}l$ is surjective or not.

To prove the above lemma, we recall the complex representation ring

$$R(Spin(2\ell + 1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell-1}, \Delta_{\mathbb{C}}]$$

Here λ_i is the i -th elementary symmetric function in variables $z_1^2 + z_1^{-2}, \dots, z_{\ell}^2 + z_{\ell}^{-2}$ in $R(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}[z_1, z_1^{-1}, \dots, z_{\ell}, z_{\ell}^{-1}]$ for the maximal torus T . The representation $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined

$$\sum z_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots z_{\ell}^{\varepsilon_{\ell}} \quad \varepsilon_i = 1 \text{ or } -1.$$

Consider the restriction $R(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}[z_1, z_1^{-1}]$ (i.e., $z_i = 1$ for $i \geq 2$). Since

$$\lambda_1 = z_1^2 + z_1^{-2} + \dots + z_4^2 + z_4^{-2}, \quad \text{so } \lambda_1|_{S^1} = z_1^2 + z_1^{-2} + 6.$$

Thus for $H^*(BS^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}[u]$, $|u| = 2$, we have

$$Res_{BS^1}(c(\lambda_1)) = (1 - 2u)(1 + 2u) = 1 - 4u^2.$$

From this we see $c_2(\lambda_1)|_{S^1} = -4u^2 \neq 0$.

Recall that $H^4(BG)_{(2)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{w_4\}$. Note $Res_{S^1}(w_4) = 0$ in $H^*(BS^1; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, and w_4 is not represented by a Chern class (in fact, it does not exist in $BP^*(BG)$). Using these facts, we see

$$Res_{BS^1}(w_4) = 2u^2 \quad \text{and so} \quad Res_{BS^1}(2w_4) = 4u^2$$

which is represented by Chern classes.

Proof of Lemma 10.1. We consider the Chern classes $c_i(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})|_{BS^1}$. Consider the restriction $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}}|_{S^1} = 2^3(z_1 + z_1^{-1})$. Hence

$$Res_{BS^1}(c(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})) = (1 - u^2)^8 = 1 - \binom{8}{1}u^2 + \binom{8}{2}u^4 + \dots + u^{16}.$$

Recall $q_3|_{BS^1} = w_4|_{BS^1} = 2u^2$. Since $q_4^2 = 2q_3$, we see $w_8|_{BS^1} = q_4|_{BS^1} = 2u^4$. We also know $e|_{BS^1} = u^8$ (in fact $e = w_{16}$ is defined using Δ). Therefore $2w_8|_{BS^1} = 4u^4$ and $2e|_{BS^1} = 2u^8$ are represented by Chern classes. Similarly we can see that each element in $2\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(w_4, w_8, w_{16})$ is represented by Chern class. For example

$$2w_4w_8w_{16}|_{S^1} = 2(2u^2)(2u^4)u^8 = 2^3u^{14} = \binom{8}{7}u^{14}$$

which is represented by a Chern class.

Q.E.D.

Let $G = Spin(9)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. The Chow ring of the flag variety is given in §6 and

$$Ker(j^*(\mathbb{G})) = (c_2^2, c_2c_3, c_3^2, e_8, c_4) \subset S(t)/2,$$

The Chow ring of BG is still unknown. But we see from the preceding theorem $CH^*(BG_k)/Tor \cong D\{1, c_2'', c_4'', c_6'', c_8'', c_{10}'', c_{12}'', c_{14}''\}$. Since $i^*(c_2'') = 2w_4, i^*(c_4'') = 2w_8, \dots$, we see Conjecture 7.7 for $G = Spin(9)$.

Theorem 10.3. Let $G = Spin(9)$. Then for $D = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6, c_8, c_{16}'']$, we have

$$D/2 \cong Im(i^*/2 : CH^*(BG_k) \rightarrow CH^*(BB_k)/2).$$

We can see the map i^* is given

$$\begin{aligned} c_4 &\mapsto c_2'', & c_6 &\mapsto c_3'', & c_8 &\mapsto e_8, & c_{16}'' &\mapsto (c_4'')^4, \\ c_2'' &\mapsto 2c_2, & c_4'' &\mapsto 2c_4, & c_6'' &\mapsto 2c_2c_4, & c_8'' &\mapsto 2c_4^2, & c_{10}'' &\mapsto 2c_2c_4^2, \\ & & c_{12}'' &\mapsto 2c_4e_8, & c_{14}'' &\mapsto 2c_2c_4e_8. \end{aligned}$$

Here $c_i'' = c_i(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})$ for the complex spin representation.

From Theorem 10.2, we have

Proposition 10.4. Let $G = Spin(9)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal, Then we have

$$D(\mathbb{G}) = D_{CH/2}(\mathbb{G}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\{1, c_2c_3\} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[c_4]/(c_4^4))^+ \otimes S(t, c).$$

11 The ordinary cohomology for F_4

In this and next sections, we assume $(G, p) = (F_4, 3)$. For ease of notation, the classifying space BG means the topological space $BG(\mathbb{C})$ (or the variety $BG_{\mathbb{C}}$). Toda computed the $mod(3)$ cohomology of BF_4 . (For details see [Tod1].)

Theorem 11.1. (Toda [Tod1]) We have additively $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/3) \cong C \otimes D$,

$$\text{where } C = F\{1, x_{20}, x_{20}^2\} + \mathbb{Z}/3[x_{26}] \otimes \Lambda(x_9) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/3\{1, x_{20}, x_{21}, x_{26}\}$$

$$\text{and } D = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}[x_{36}, x_{48}], \quad F = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}[x_4, x_8].$$

Here the suffix means its degree.

Remark. The multiplicative structure is also given completely by Toda [Tod1], e.g., $x_{21}x_8 + x_{20}x_9 = 0$.

Note that $H^*(BG)$ has no higher 3-torsion and $Q_0x_8 = x_9$, $Q_0x_{20} = x_{21}$. So $x_8, x_{20} \notin H^*(BG)$. From $Q_0x_{25} = x_{26}$, we can see $x_{26} = Q_2Q_1(x_4)$. Using these we have

Corollary 11.2. ([Tod1], [Ka-Mi]) We have isomorphisms

$$H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/3)^W \cong H^{even}(BG; \mathbb{Z}/3)/(Q_2Q_1x_4) \cong D/3 \otimes F\{1, x_{20}, x_{20}^2\}.$$

$$H^*(BT)^W \cong H^*(BG)/Tor \cong D \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}\{1, x_4\} \oplus E)$$

where $D = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}[x_{36}, x_{48}]$, $F = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}[x_4, x_8]$, and $E = F\{ab | a, b \in \{x_4, x_8, x_{20}\}\}$.

Note that $E \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}\{1, x_4, x_8, x_{20}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}[x_4, x_8, x_{20}]/(x_{20}^3)$.

To show the above theorem, Toda uses the following fibering

$$\Pi \rightarrow BSpin(9) \rightarrow BF_4$$

where $\Pi = F_4/Spin(9)$ is the Cayley plane. Let T be the maximal torus of $Spin(9) \subset F_4$, and $W(G)$ be the Weyl group of G . Let us write $H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3[t_1, \dots, t_4]$. It is well known

$$H^*(BSpin(9); \mathbb{Z}/3) \cong H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/3)^{W(Spin(9))} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3[p_1, \dots, p_4]$$

where p_i is the i -th Pontrjagin class which is the i -th elementary symmetric function on variable t_j^2 . The Weyl group $W(F_4)$ is generated by elements in $W(Spin(9))$ and by R with $R(u_i) = u_i - (u_1 + \dots + u_4)$. The invariant ring of $G = F_4$ is also computed by Toda

Theorem 11.3. There is a ring isomorphism

$$H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/3)^{W(G)} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3[p_1, \bar{p}_2, \bar{p}_5, \bar{p}_9, \bar{p}_{12}]/(r_{15}) \subset \mathbb{Z}/3[p_1, \dots, p_4]$$

$$\text{where } \bar{p}_2 = p_2 - p_1^2, \quad \bar{p}_5 = p_4p_1 + p_3\bar{p}_2, \quad \bar{p}_9 = p_3^3 \text{ mod}(I),$$

$$\bar{p}_{12} = p_4^3 \text{ mod}(I), \quad r_{15} = \bar{p}_5^3, \quad \text{with } I = \text{Ideal}(p_1, \bar{p}_2).$$

Let us write $i : T \subset F_4$. The above elements correspond even degree generator (except for x_{26}).

Corollary 11.4. We have

$$i^*(x_4) = p_1, \quad i^*(x_8) = \bar{p}_2, \quad i^*(x_{20}) = \bar{p}_5, \quad i^*(x_{36}) = \bar{p}_9, \quad i^*(x_{48}) = \bar{p}_{12}.$$

By using this corollary, we can write the reduced power actions.

Lemma 11.1. ([Tod1]) We have

$$\begin{aligned} P^1(x_4) &= -x_8 + x_1^2, & P^1(x_8) &= x_4x_8, & P^1(x_{20}) &= 0, \\ P^3(x_4) &= 0, & P^3(x_8) &= x_{20} - x_4x_8^2, & P^3(x_{20}) &= x_{20}x_4(-x_8 + x_4^2), \\ & & P^3(x_{36}) &= x_{48} \text{ mod}(x_4, x_8). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the $\text{mod}(3)$ cohomology of F_4 is

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3[y_8]/(y_8^3) \otimes \Lambda(x_3, x_7, x_{11}, x_{15}).$$

Here suffices mean their degree. Recall the cohomology of the flag variety

$$H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/3) \cong P(y) \otimes S(t)/(b_1, \dots, b_4)$$

and so $b_1 = p_1, b_2 = \bar{p}_2, b_3 = p_3, b_4 = p_4$. Define $D_{H/3}(G) = \text{Ker}(j^+)/(\text{Im}(i^+))$ for

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/3) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z}/3) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/3).$$

Proposition 11.5. We have additively

$$D_{H/3}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3[p_3, p_4]^+ / (p_3^3, p_4^3) \otimes S(t, p) \quad \text{for } S(t, p) \cong S(t)/(p_1, \dots, p_4).$$

Proof. First note that $i^*(x_4) = p_1, i^*(x_8) = \bar{p}_2$ and p_1, \bar{p}_2 are zero in $D_{H/3}(G)$.

Since $i^*(x_{36}) = \bar{p}_9 = p_3^3 \text{ mod}(I)$, we see $p_3^3 = 0 \in D_{H/3}(G)$. Similarly, we see $p_4^3 = 0 \in D_{H/3}(G)$ from $i^*(x_{48}) = \bar{p}_{12}$. Q.E.D.

12 BP*-theory and Chow ring for $(F_4, 3)$

We consider the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence [Ko-Ya]

$$E_2^{*,*'} \cong H^*(BG) \otimes BP^* \implies BP^*(BG).$$

Its differentials have forms of $d_{2p^n-1}(x) = v_n \otimes Q_n(x)$. Using $Q_1(x_4) = x_9, Q_1(x_{20}) = x_{25}, Q_1(x_{21}) = x_{26}$ and $Q_2x_9 = x_{26}$, we can compute ([Ko-Ya])

$$E_\infty^{*,*'} \cong D \otimes (BP^* \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}\{1, 3x_4\} \oplus E) \oplus BP^*/(3, v_1, v_2)[x_{26}]^+).$$

Hence we have

Theorem 12.1. ([Ko-Ya], [Ya2]) We have the isomorphism

$$BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(3)} \cong D \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}\{1, 3x_4\} \oplus E \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3[x_{26}]^+).$$

Lemma 12.1. ([Ya2]) We see $x_{26} \in \text{Im}(cl)$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.3 in [Ya2], (see also [Ka-Ya]) if $x \in H^4(X(\mathbb{C}))$ and $px \in \text{Im}(cl)$, then there is $x' \in H^{4,3}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ such that $cl(x') = x \text{ mod}(p)$. Note

$$y = Q_2Q_1(x') \in H^{26,13}(X; \mathbb{Z}/3) \cong CH^{13}(X)/3.$$

Hence we have the lemma from $x_{26} = cl(y)$. Q.E.D.

Let $Grif \subset Tor \subset CH^*(X|_{\bar{k}})$ be the ideal generated by Griffiths elements i.e., $Grif = Ker(t_{\mathbb{C}})$ for $t_{\mathbb{C}} : CH^*(X|_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow H^*(X)$.

Corollary 12.2. We have $Tor/Grif \cong D \otimes \mathbb{Z}/3[x_{26}]^+$ and

$$CH^*(BG_{\bar{k}})/Tor \subset D \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}\{1, 3x_4\} \oplus E) \subset H^*(BG)/Tor.$$

If Totaro's conjecture is correct, then $Grif = \{0\}$ and the first inclusion is an isomorphism.

From Lemma 3.1-3.4 in [Ya2], we see $x_{36}, 3x_4, x_4^3, \dots$ are represented by Chern classes. Moreover we still know

Lemma 12.2. ([Ya2]) Let RP be the subalgebra of the $mod(3)$ Steenrod algebra A_3 generated by reduced powers. Then $(BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(3)})/(Tor, 3)$ is generated as an RP -module by

$$x_4^2, x_8^2, \text{ and products of some Chern classes.}$$

Here we consider the (algebraic) K -theory with the coefficient $K^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_1^{-1}]$ such that

$$BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} K^* \cong K^*(BG).$$

Recall that $gr_{geo}^*(X)$ is the graded associated ring defined by the geometric filtration of $K^0(X)$ (that is isomorphic to the infinite term $E_{\infty}^{2*,*,0}$ of the motivic Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence). Then it is well known that we have the surjection $CH^*(X) \rightarrow gr_{geo}^*(X)$.

Lemma 12.3. We see $x_4^2 \in Im(cl)$.

Proof. Suppose that $x_4^2 \notin CH^*(BG_k)$. However x_4^2 exists in $K^*(BG_k) \cong K^*(BG)$, because it exists in $BP^*(BG)$. Since $CH^*(X) \rightarrow gr_{geo}^*(X)$ is surjective, there is an element

$$c \in CH^*(BG_k) \text{ such that } c = v_1^s x_4^2 \text{ for } s \geq 1.$$

By dimensional reason, this $s = 1$ and $|c| = 4$. But by Totaro

$$CH^2(BG) \cong (BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})^4,$$

which is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

We can not see $x_8^2 \in Im(cl)$ or not [Ya2], still in this paper.

Proposition 12.3. ([Ya1]) Let $(G, p) = (F_4, 3)$. Suppose $x_8^2 \in Im(cl)$. Then the modified cycle map $\bar{cl} : CH^*(BG_k) \rightarrow BP^*(BG) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$ is surjective. Moreover, we have

$$Im(\bar{cl}) \cong Im(cl) \cong D \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}\{1, 3x_4\} \oplus E \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3[x_{26}]^+).$$

From Theorem 2.3, we have

$$CH^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k)/3 \cong S(t)/(p_i p_j | 1 \leq i, j \leq 4).$$

Hence, we have $(p_i p_j) \supset Ideal(i^* CH^*(BG_k))$ e.g. $i^*(x_4^2) = p_1^2, i^*(x_4 x_8) = p_1 p_2, \dots$

Suppose that $x_8^2 \notin CH^*(BG_k)$. However x_8^2 exists in $K^*(BG_k) \cong K^*(BG)$, because it exists in $BP^*(BG)$. Since $CH^*(X) \rightarrow gr_{geo}^*(X)$ is surjective, there is an element

$$c \in CH^*(BG_k) \text{ such that } c = v_1^s x_8^2 \text{ for } s \geq 1.$$

This c is torsion element in $CH^*(BG)$ since $3x_8^2 \in Im(cl)$.

Proposition 12.4. If $x_8^2 \notin \text{Im}(cl)$, then there is a non zero element $c \in \text{Tor}$ with $|c| = 16 - 4s$ for $s = 1$ or 2 .

We consider the following ideals in $CH^*(BB_k)$

$$\text{Ker}(j^*) = (3p_1, p_1^2, p_1\bar{p}_2, 3p_3, \bar{p}_2^2, \dots) \supset (3x_4, x_4^2, x_4x_8, x_4^3, \lambda x_8^2, \dots) = \text{Ideal}(\text{Im}(i^*)),$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$. We note that

$$i^*(3x_4) = 3p_1, \quad i^*(x_4^2) = p_1^2, \quad i^*(x_4^3) = 3p_3, \quad i^*(x_4x_8) = p_1p_2$$

where we used $p_1^3 = 3p_3 \text{ mod}(p_1p_2)$. Note that $\lambda \neq 0$ implies $i^*(x_8^2) = p_2^2$.

Proposition 12.5. The map $\tilde{c}l$ is surjective if and only if $D^*(\mathbb{G}) = 0$ for $* \leq 16$.

Proposition 12.6. The ring $\tilde{D}(\mathbb{G})$ is isomorphic to a quotient of

$$D(F_4)' = \mathbb{Z}/3\{p_1^{i_1} p_2^{i_2} p_3^{i_3} p_4^{i_4} | 2 \leq i_1 + \dots + i_4\} / (p_1^2, p_1p_2, p_3^3, p_4^3).$$

13 E_6, E_7 for $p = 3$

The groups E_6, E_7 for $p = 3$ are of type (I). Hence

$$\text{Ker}j^+(\mathbb{G}) \cong \text{Ideal}(b_i b_j, b_k | 1 \leq i, j \leq 4, 5 \leq k \leq \ell) \subset S(t)/3.$$

By Kameko [Ka], there is a representation $\rho_\ell : E_\ell \rightarrow U(N)$ such that

$$i_\ell^* c_{18}(\rho_\ell) = x_{36} \quad \text{for } i_\ell : F_4 \rightarrow E_\ell.$$

Hence $i_\ell^*(P^3 c_{18}) = x_{48}$. Thus

$$p_3^3 = i^*(c_{18}), \quad p_4^3 = i^*(P^3 c_{18}).$$

Proposition 13.1. Let $G = E_\ell$ for $\ell = 6$ or 7 . Then there is a surjection

$$((\mathbb{Z}/3\{1\} \otimes D(F_4)') \otimes \mathbb{Z}/3[b_5, \dots, b_\ell])^+ \rightarrow \tilde{D}(\mathbb{G}).$$

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 12.5, we see $p_1^2 \in \text{Im}(i^*)$. Since $P^1(p_1^2) = p_1\bar{p}_2$, we see $p_1p_2 \in \text{Im}(i^*)$ also for E_6, E_7 . Q.E.D.

References

- [Be-Wo] D. Benson and J. Wood Integral invariants and cohomology of $BSpin(n)$. *Topology*. **34** (1994), 13-28.
- [Fe] M. Feshbach. The image of $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ in $H^*(BT; \mathbb{Z})$ for a compact Lie group with maximal torus T . *Topology*. **20** (1985), 93-95.
- [Ga-Me-Se] S. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev and J-P Serre. Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology. *University Lecture Series 28*, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (2003), viii+168pp.

- [Gu] P. Guillot, The Chow rings of G_2 and $Spin(7)$, *J. reine angew. Math.* **604** (2007), 137-158.
- [Ha] M.Hazewinkel, Formal groups and applications, *Pure and Applied Math.* 78, *Academic Press Inc.* (1978), xxii+573pp.
- [Ka] M. Kameko. Mod (3) Chern classes and generators. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **93** (2017), 55-60.
- [Ka-Mi] M. Kameko and M. Mimura, Wely group invariants, arXiv: 1202.6459v1 [math.AT], (2012).
- [Ka-Ya] M. Kameko and N. Yagita. Chern subrings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138** (2010), 367-373.
- [Kar] N. Karpenko. Chow groups of some generically twisted flag varieties. *Ann. K-theory* **2** (2017), 341-356.
- [Ko] A. Kono, On the integral cohomology of $BSpin(n)$. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **26** (1986), 333-337.
- [Ko-Ya] A. Kono and N.Yagita, Brown-Peterson and ordinary cohomology theories of classifying spaces for compact Lie groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **339** (1993), 781-798.
- [Me-Ne-Za] A. Merkurjev, A. Neshitov and K. Zainoulline. Invariants of degree 3 and torsion in the Chow group of a versal flag. *Composito Math.* **151** (2015), 11416-1432.
- [Mi-Ni] M. Mimura and T. Nishimoto. Hopf algebra structure of Morava K-theory of exceptional Lie groups. *Contem. Math.* **293** (2002), 195-231.
- [Ni] T. Nishimoto. Higher torsion in Morava K-theory of $SO(m)$ and $Spin(m)$. *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* **52** (2001), 383-394.
- [Pe] V. Petrov. Chow ring of generic maximal orthogonal Grassmannians. *Zap. Nauchn, Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Skelov. (POMI)* **443** (2016), 147-150.
- [Pe-Se-Za] V.Petrov, N.Semenov and K.Zainoulline. J-Invariant of linear algebraic groups. *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup.* **41**, (2008) 1023-1053.
- [Qu] D. Quillen, The mod 2 cohomology rings of extra-special 2-groups and the spinor groups, *Math. Ann.* **194** (1971), 197-212.
- [Ra] D.Ravenel. Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres. *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 121. *Academic Press* (1986).
- [Ro] M.Rost. Some new results on Chowgroups of quadrics. *preprint* (1990).
- [Sc-Ya] B. Schuster and N. Yagita, Transfers of Chern classes in BP-cohomology and Chow rings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), 1039-1054.
- [Se-Zh] N. Semenov and M Zhykhovich. Integral motives, relative Krull-Schumidt principle, and Maranda-type theorems. *Math. Ann.* **363** (2015) 61-75.
- [Tod1] H. Toda, Cohomology mod(3) of the classifying space BF_4 of the exceptional group F_4 . *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **13** (1973) 97-115.

- [Tod2] H.Toda. On the cohomology ring of some homogeneous spaces. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **15** (1975), 185-199.
- [Tod-Wa] H.Toda and T.Watanabe. The integral cohomology ring of F_4/T and E_4/T . *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **14** (1974), 257-286.
- [To1] B. Totaro. The Chow ring of classifying spaces. *Proc.of Symposia in Pure Math. "Algebraic K-theory" (1997:University of Washington,Seattle)* **67** (1999), 248-281.
- [To2] B. Totaro. The torsion index of the spin groups. *Duke Math. J.* **299** (2005), 249-290.
- [To3] B. Totaro, Group cohomology and algebraic cycles, *Cambridge tracts in Math. (Cambridge Univ. Press)* **204** (2014).
- [Vo1] V. Voevodsky. Motivic cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficient. *Publ. Math. IHES* **98** (2003), 59-104.
- [Vo2] V.Voevodsky. On motivic cohomology with \mathbb{Z}/l -coefficients. *Ann. of Math.* **174** (2011), 401-438.
- [Ya1] N. Yagita, Chow rings of classifying spaces of extraspecial p -groups. *Recent progress in homotopy theory. Contemp. Math.* **293** (2002), 397-409..
- [Ya2] N. Yagita, The image of the cycle map of classifying space of the exceptional Lie group F_4 , *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **44** (2004), 181-191.
- [Ya3] N. Yagita. Note on restriction maps of Chow rings to Weyl group invariants. *Kodai Math. J.* **40** (2017), 537-552.
- [Ya4] N. Yagita. Chow rings of versal complete flag varieties. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **72** (2020), 1-39.